What Heisenberg Knew: A QuarkNet Data-Based Activity



AAPT Winter Meeting 2021

Michael J. Wadness, QuarkNet Fellow, Medford High School <u>mjwadness@protonmail.com</u>









My Understanding

 "The very act of measuring the position of the electron changes its momentum, making its momentum uncertain."—kannanchemistry.com

Before collision:

QuarkNet

A photon strikes an electron during an attempt to observe the electron's position.

After collision:

The impact changes the electron's momentum, making it uncertain.



Criticized as being too simplified, missing the wave nature

QuarkNet How Theorists Have Explained It

$$\begin{split} g(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{g}(p) \cdot e^{ipx/\hbar} \, dp \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p \cdot \varphi(p) \cdot e^{ipx/\hbar} \, dp \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[p \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(\chi) e^{-ip\chi/\hbar} \, d\chi \right] \cdot e^{ipx/\hbar} \, dp \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\psi(\chi) e^{-ip\chi/\hbar}}{-\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\psi(\chi)}{d\chi} e^{-ip\chi/\hbar} \, d\chi \right] \\ &= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\psi(\chi)}{d\chi} e^{-ip\chi/\hbar} \, d\chi e^{ipx/\hbar} \, dp \\ &= \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) \cdot \psi(x), \end{split}$$

Wikipedia

A continuous distribution of wavelengths can produce a localized "wave packet".



.06511



Each different wavelength represents a different value of momentum according to the DeBroglie relationship.

Superposition of different wavelengths is necessary to localize the position. A wider spread of wavelengths contributes to a smaller Δx .

ReadingFeynman.org

Sydney Harris, 1977

Using Data to Discover

"What Heisenberg Knew"

QuarkNet Activity in the Data Portfolio (<u>QuarkNet.org</u>)

QuarkNet

- Students analyze momentum and position data to discover the uncertainty principle.
- Real data from hot fullerene molecule diffraction (Nairz, Arndt & Zeilinger, 2001)
- Let's explore!

WHAT HEISENBERG KNEW Student Pages

DESCRIPTION

Werner Heisenberg (1901–1976) was one of the most important physicists in the creation of quantum mechanics. In 1927, he proposed the uncertainty principle. It stated that pairs of complementary variables in physics had minimal measurement uncertainties based on a relationshi with each other: less uncertainty in one inevitably yields greater uncertainty in the other, no matter how sophisticated the measurement technique. Your task is to determine if the experimental data provided represents complementary variables by testing for an inverse relationship.

What do we know?





Figure 1: Experimental setup made by Nairz, Arndt, and Zeilinger, 2001, https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0105061.

- · The uncertainty in position is determined using the slit width.
- The uncertainty in momentum is determined using the width of the central maximum.
- The steps for linearizing graphed data

What do we need?

• Data Table A: Complementary Variables Momentum (p) and Position (x)

······································			
Uncertainty in	Uncertainty in	Reciprocal	
Position, A x	Momentum, ∆p	1/ Δ x	
(micrometers)	(x 10 ⁻²⁷ kg-m/s)	(1/μm)	
0.09	9.6		
0.28	2.8		
0.46	1.3		
0.65	1.0		
1.36	0.5		
2.52	0.3		

Graph paper or a graphing program

What do we do?

- Plot Δp vs. Δx.
- Describe the shape of the graph.
- Make a claim about what happens to Δx when Δp increases.

Helping Develop America's Technological Workforce



How Particles Should Behave



QuarkNet



Helping Develop America's Technological Workforce

QuarkNet

What the Data Says

Data Table A: Complem	entary variables momentu	delta p
Uncertainty in	Uncertainty in	
Position, Δx	Momentum, ∆p	8
(micrometers)	(x 10 ⁻²⁷ kg-m/s)	
0.09	9.6	Auto Fit for: Data Set Uncertainty in Momentum 6 delta p = A/x
0.28	2.8	B A: 0.8439 +/- 0.0260 Correlation: 0.3981 Correlation: 0.3981 C RMSE: 0.3118 kgm/s
0.46	1.3	
0.65	1.0	2
1.36	0.5	
2.52	0.3	
~ • • •	Uncertainty in position (mircrometers)	

delta x



We observe the reverse!

As AX decreases Mp Accrease What does the data say?



Slope = 8.83 x 10^-34 kgm^2/s Ball Park of Planck's Constant



Explaining the Data

How far do you want to go with your students?

- Merely state the inverse relationship.
- Derive the Heisenberg relationship from diffraction using de Broglie (or vice versa).
- Explore particle-wave duality.

QuarkNet



What do we want students to know?

- The "smear" of the central maxima refutes a particle model.
- There is an inverse relationship between the uncertainties in position and momentum.
- The relationship is not due to a collision between particles or a lack of precision in the measurement.
- Uncertainty is a fundamental property based on the wave-like nature of matter.