

### Testing photomultiplier tubes for two projects

Cosmic ray detectors are being developed using plastic scintillators at Queensborough Community College. To achieve this goal, photomultiplier tubes (PMT) with excellent responses are required. A PMT characterization system has been set up with the electronic detector group in the BNL physics department. PMTs will be evaluated by measuring their gain, relative quantum efficiency, dark rate, etc. The PMTs achieving certain criteria will be selected for the cosmic ray detectors.

Collaborators from BNL Chemistry and Physics have developed a 1 ton detector vessel for water-based liquid scintillator (WbLS) feasibility studies for very large scale (>10000 tons) detectors for particle physics. In these studies, photomultiplier tubes (PMT) with single photon detection capability are required. Various PMTs will be characterized by measuring their gain, single photon-electron resolution (SPE), peak to valley (P/V) ratio, dark count rate, etc. Based on the measurements, satisfactory PMTs will be identified and used for WbLS research.

#### Accomplishments

A dark box has been setup which can test 4 PMTs simultaneously at a distance of about 32 inches from a flashing LED driven by a pulse generator set at 1.62 V, 11 ns, frequency 5 to 10 Hz. Four-channel waveforms are taken from a Tektronix DPO 4104 oscilloscope by a LabVIEW program. The daq rate is limited at about 0.7 Hz. It was determined that > 12000 waveforms are needed to get good statistics of the single photoelectron spectrum; one run takes about 5 hours. To measure a gain curve 4 to 5 HV points are measured. Data is processed with s PyROOT program, the single photoelectron spectrum is fitted by a convoluted distribution of Gaussian and Poisson distributions. PMT gain, resolution, and the P/V ratio can be studied. A discriminator and a scaler are used to measure dark count as a function of high voltage.

Hamamatsu H2431-50 PMTs tested.



Photomultiplier tubes work via the photoelectric effect. Unlike phototubes a photomultiplier tube has dynodes that create an electron cascade which allows for detection of even single photons.

Dark box setup







#### High Voltage Inspection

The HV outputs were tested using three different voltmeters (DVMs); each DVM read a different HV by as much as 18 volts at -1300V; the percent by which each voltmeter reading was different from the HV dial setting was consistent as HV was increased.

When the HV was set at each -900V, -1100V, and -1300V: HV1 and HV2 were measured to be within 0.1% of the dial settings. HV3 and HV4 were measured to be within 0.5% of the dial settings.

Results:
HV set at -900V:
Ch1: DVM1 = -900V, DVM2 = -896V, DVM3 = -910V.
Ch2: DVM1 = -899V, DVM2 = -896V, DVM3 = -910V.
Ch3: DVM1 = -896V, DVM2 = -892V, DVM3 = -906V.
Ch4: DVM1 = -896V, DVM2 = -896V, DVM3 = -907V.
HV set at -1100V:
Ch1: DVM1 = -1100V, DVM2 = -1096V, DVM3 = -1115V.
Ch2: DVM1 = -1100V, DVM2 = -1096V, DVM3 = -1114V.
Ch3: DVM1 = -1094V, DVM2 = -1089V, DVM3 = -1106V.
Ch4: DVM1 = -1094V, DVM2 = -1089V, DVM3 = -1107V.
HV set at -1300V:
Ch1: DVM1 = -1299V, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1317V.
Ch2: DVM1 = -1299V, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1317V.
Ch3: DVM1 = -1292V, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1309V.
Ch4: DVM1 = -1292V, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1309V.

HV set at -1500V: Ch1: DVM1 no good, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1520V. Ch2: DVM1 no good, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1520V. Ch3: DVM1 no good, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1510V. Ch4: DVM1 no good, DVM2 no good, DVM3 = -1510V.



Each PMT uses a voltage divider circuit to distribute high voltage to the electrodes.

# **Characterization of Photomultiplier Tubes**

Michael Kennedy<sup>\*</sup>, Dept. of Physical Sciences, Suffolk County Community College, Selden, NY; Garrett Stoddard\*, Dept. of Physics, Stony Brook University; Aiwu Zhang PhD and David Jaffe PhD, Electronic Detector Group, Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory; Raul Armendariz PhD, Department of Physics, Queensborough Community College, Bayside, NY. \* students

# Dark box light tightness tests and setup to measure PMT stability





We tested all four PMTs and only the third seemed to be operating properly, so we used this one. When

- After testing and comparing the count rates at various voltages, the difference in measurements with lights on versus lights off appears to be negligible. Any light leak impacts the data in such a miniscule way that it can be ignored
- When testing PMTs 1, 2, and 4, we replaced all wires connecting them to our measuring equipment, tested them using multiple inputs to the discriminator, and adjusted the input voltage, all yielding no change in data output
- Should we conduct future tests, it would likely be beneficial to replace the presumably faulty PMTs in order to truly determine whether or not they are the source of the issue.

	Lights On											
	Channel 3	1										
Voltage	2000.00		2100.00		2200.00		2300.00		2400.00		2500.00	
Trial	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
1	155.00	12.92	852.00	71.00	2968.00	247.33	7140.00	595.00	16352.00	1362.67	49998.00	4166.50
2	134.00	11.17	872.00	72.67	2893.00	241.08	7048.00	587.33	16666.00	1388.83	50143.00	4178.58
3	146.00	12.17	918.00	76.50	2902.00	241.83	6894.00	574.50	16440.00	1370.00	50757.00	4229.75
4	113.00	9.42	922.00	76.83	2857.00	238.08	7015.00	584.58	17048.00	1420.67	49908.00	4159.00
5	134.00	11.17	884.00	73.67	2910.00	242.50	6996.00	583.00	16743.00	1395.25	49817.00	4151.42
6	140.00	11.67	917.00	76.42	2871.00	239.25	7092.00	591.00	17128.00	1427.33	49708.00	4142.33
Average	137.00	11.42	894.17	74.51	2900.17	241.68	7030.83	585.90	16729.00	1394.13	50055.17	4171.26
Errorr	8.57	0.71	14.29	1.19	22.66	1.89	50.21	4.18	158.40	13.20	214.13	17.84
Lights Off												
	Channel 3				-						_	
Voltage	2000.00		2100.00		2200.00		2300.00		2400.00		2500.00	
Trial	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
1	126.00	10.50	913.00	76.08	2813.00	234.42	6834.00	569.50	16655.00	1387.92	49666.00	4138.83
2	126.00	10.50	921.00	76.75	3013.00	251.08	6923.00	576.92	16944.00	1412.00	49944.00	4162.00
3	152.00	12.67	870.00	72.50	2854.00	237.83	6982.00	581.83	16369.00	1364.08	49260.00	4105.00
4	126.00	10.50	859.00	71.58	2773.00	231.08	7038.00	586.50	16638.00	1386.50	49585.00	4132.08
5	144.00	12.00	877.00	73.08	2848.00	237.33	7035.00	586.25	16612.00	1384.33	49510.00	4125.83
6	106.00	8.83	840.00	70.00	2872.00	239.33	7160.00	596.67	16914.00	1409.50	49468.00	4122.33
Average	130.00	10.83	880.00	73.33	2862.17	238.51	6995.33	582.94	16688.67	1390.72	49572.17	4131.01
Error	9.39	0.78	16.53	1.38	48.99	4.08	66.54	5.55	117.37	9.78	139.62	11.64



# PMT Calculations: understanding PMT signal detection

γ γ pe	Number of photons incident on photocathode Number of photoelectrons emitted by photocathode
$QE = N_{pe}/N_v$	Quantum efficiency of PMT
l <sub>e</sub> i i	Number of electrons emitted by anode
$= N_e / N_{pe}$	Gain of PMT
$= 1.6 x^{10^{-19}} C$	electron charge
$Q = N_e e$	Charge emitted by anode
$=\frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t}$	Photocurrent emitted by anode
)	Dark Current emitted by anode

# Signal over noise: minimum light detection over PMT dark current

The minimum amount of light detectable by a PMT is determined by its dark current, gain, and quantum efficiency; in order for the PMT anode output I to be above its dark current  $I_D$ :

$$I = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t} = \frac{N_e e}{\Delta t} = \frac{N_{pe}ge}{\Delta t} = \frac{N_{\gamma}QEge}{\Delta t} > I_D$$
$$\frac{N_{\gamma}}{\Delta t} > \frac{I_D}{QEge};$$

for energy per photon at a given wavelength  $E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$ , the incident light power on the photocathode should be:

$$P = \frac{N_{\tau}}{\Delta t} \frac{hc}{\lambda} > \frac{I_D}{QEge} \frac{hc}{\lambda};$$

for violet light at  $\lambda = 420$  nm, and a PMT with  $I_D = 100$  nA, QE = 0.2,  $g = 2.5 \times 10^6$ , the incident light power required for detection is:

$$P_{\text{required}} > \frac{100 \text{x} 10^{-9} \text{A}}{0.2 (2.5 x 10^6) (1.6 x 10^{-19} C)} \frac{(6.6 x 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{kg/s}) 2.99 x 10^8 \text{ m/s}}{420 \text{ x} 10^{-9} \text{m}}$$

 $P_{\text{required}} > 6x10^{-13}$  Watts

References

thus:

e Edit View Project Operate Tools Window Help 수 🛞 🛑 🔢 24pt Application Font 🛛 🗸 💬 🐨 👑 🤇 ▶ Search DQA for Tektronix DPO 4104 : recording waveforms for four channels. VISA resource name out LUSB0::0x0699::0x0401::C011830::INSTR Some explanation about setting timebase and record length: Configure Channels (maximum 4 channel , scope is 5GS/s, meaning sampling time is 0.2 ns Timebase (ns/div 2, so record length Number of points = timebase\*10/0.2 Note only some numbers are applicable, check the scop the record length and timebase should match the above relationship Record Length Maximum Time (ms Save File file pat () Channel 1 Channel 2 Vertical grid 2 (mV) Enable Channel 2  $\bigcirc$ Channel 3 Vertical grid 3 (mV) Enable Channel 3  $\bigcirc$ Vertical grid 4 (mV) Enable Channel 4 Channel 4 Trigger Sourc Trigger slope 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 100 of waveforms to record Elapsed Time (s) Current time 6.45437 2:03:39,136 PM 2:03:39.136 PM 🖉 Start 🔚 🔒 📀 🐨 🛣

LabVIEW program to control oscilloscope and record data



5227 PMT pulse traces; the large peak on the left is the single photon peak at 72.86 femto Coulombs

Measuring PMT gain:

PMT gain is measured by flashing an LED on and off and measuring the pulse output on the oscilloscope; the gain can be calculated from the total charge in the pulse  $Q_{Total}$ :

Estimating the single photon peak amplitude on an oscilloscope:

A single photon incident on a PMT photocathode,  $N_{ne} = 1$ , results in an peak voltage displayed on an oscilloscope terminated in

$$V_{\text{peak}} = IR = \frac{\Sigma \Delta Q_i}{\Delta t}R = \frac{R}{\Delta t}\Sigma(N_{pe}ge) = R\frac{ge}{\Delta t}$$

for a gain of  $g = 2.5 \times 10^6$ , PMT pulse peak distributed over  $\Delta t = 10$ ns, and oscilloscope input impedance  $R = 50 \Omega$  the expected peak

$$V_{\text{peak}} = \frac{ge}{\Delta t}R = \frac{(2.5x10^6)(1.6x10^{-19}\text{C})}{10x10^{-9}\text{s}} 50\Omega = 1.7 \text{ mV}$$

the oscilloscope sampling period  $\Delta t$  for a horizontal display setting of 20 ns/division, 10 divisions per trace, and 1000 points per trace is:

which corresponds to a 5 GHz sampling rate. To remove from each  $\Delta Q_i$  any spurious charge and/or oscilloscope vertical offset the first 200 points of each trace corresponding to before the LED turns on (dark current) are used to compute a noise baseline, and a 5 $\sigma$  cut is made on each  $\Delta Q_i$ .

The PMT gain is obtained using:

To increase the precision of the gain measurement 10,000 PMT pulses are recorded at each high voltage and a charge distribution histogram is filled and fitted; the single photon peak charge  $Q_{\text{Total}}$  is extracted from the fit. This process is repeated for each HV = 2000V, 2100V, 2200V ...., and a Gain vs. HV plot fitted to an exponential to determine the PMT gain function.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Steve Kettell and Dr. Milind Diwan from the Electronic Detector Group at Brookhaven National Laboratory, and Dr. David Lieberman from the Department of Physics at Queensborough Community College.

resistance R:



#### Prepared for the Long Island STEM Hub 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Celebration, Student and Industry Showcase, Brookhaven National Lab, April 18th, 2017



LabVIEW block diagram



$$V_{i} = IR = \frac{\Delta Q_{i}}{\Delta t}R,$$
$$\Delta Q_{i} = \frac{1}{R}V_{i}\Delta t,$$
$$Q_{\text{Total}} = \Sigma \Delta Q_{i} = \frac{\Delta t}{R}\Sigma V_{i}$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{20 \text{ ns/div } x \text{ 10 div/trace}}{1000 \text{ points/trace}} = 0.2 \text{ ns},$$

$$IR = \frac{\Sigma \Delta Q_i}{\Delta t} R = R \frac{ge}{\Delta t},$$

$$g = \frac{\Sigma \Delta Q_i}{e} = \frac{Q_{Total}}{e} = \frac{\Delta t}{Re} \Sigma V_i = \frac{0.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}}{50\Omega (1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{C})} \Sigma V_i$$
$$g = 2.5 \times 10^7 \Sigma V_i$$



<sup>1.</sup> Absolute calibration and monitoring of a spectrometric channel using a photomultiplier, E.H. Bellamy et. Al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, section A, 339 (1994) 468-476

<sup>2.</sup> Model independent approach to the single photoelectron calibration of photomultiplier tubes, R. Saldanha et. Al., arXiv:1602.03150v1 [physics.ins-det] 9 Feb 2016